ATTACHMENT K - REFERENCE QUESTIONNAIRE ST. LUCIE PUBLIC SCHOOLS ITB 25-25 WELDING SERVICES

WELDING SERVICES
FOR: C2 Welding Inc.
(Name of Vendor Requesting Reference)
This form is being submitted to your Company for completion as a business reference for the company listed above.
This form is to be returned to the School Board of St. Lucie County, Purchasing Department, via email at kimberly.albritton@stlucieschools.org no later than 3:00 p.m., June 17, 2025 , and must not be returned to the company requesting the reference.
For questions or concerns regarding this form, please contact the School Board of St. Lucie County, Purchasing Department, by telephone: (772) 429-3980, or by email at kimberly.albritton@stlucieschools.org. When contacting us, please be sure to include the solicitation number and title listed at the top of this page.
Company Providing Reference Action Fencing Inc.
Contact Name and Title/Position Mike Faurot - Owner
Contact Telephone Number 772-528-2076 Contact Email Address actionfencinginc@gmail.com
Contact Entan Audi ess denomental gine es ginameon
Questions: 1. In what capacity have you worked with this company in the past? If the Company was under a similar contract, please acknowledge and explain briefly whether or not the contract was successful.
Comments:
I have worked with this company both as a subcontractor and as a customer. One of many successful collaborations was on a project at St. Andrews, where they completed the welding and fabrication of custom gates for my team at Action Fencing to install. The project was completed on time, with excellent craftsmanship, and made for a smooth installation process. Their professionalism and reliability have made them a trusted partner on multiple jobs.
2. How would you rate this Company's knowledge and expertise? 3 (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory; 0= Unacceptable)
Comments:
They're highly skilled, knowledgeable in their trade, and consistently deliver quality work.
3. How would you rate the Company's flexibility relative to changes in the scope and timelines?
Comments:
They've been adaptable and easy to work with whenever changes came up. They communicate clearly, adjust quickly when needed, and always stay focused on keeping the project moving forward.
4. What is your level of satisfaction with hard-copy materials, e.g. quotation, written scopes of work, reports, logs, etc. produced by the Company? (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory; 0= Unacceptable)

Comments:

Type text here

5. How would you rate the dynamics/interaction betwee		aff?
3 (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory Jim Mosier (42 -618-	u= onacceptable)	
Comments:		
Excellent. Communication has always been clear and respectively reprofessional, responsive, and easy to coordinate with		
6. Who were the Company's principle representatives in rate them individually? Would you comment on the skill based the rating? (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsa	knowledge, behaviors or o	other factors on which you
Name: Austin Cole	Rating:3	
Name: Brent Cole	Rating: 3	
Name:	Rating:	
Name:	Rating:	
Comments:		
The rating is based on their strong technical skills, reliability. They consistently demonstrate solid knowledge of their trade	and professionalism. communicate clearly, and a	are easy to work with on-site.
7. With which aspect(s) of this Company's services are y	u most satisfied?	
Comments:		
Their quality of work, reliability, and communication. They o	nsistently deliver on time an	d meet or exceed expectations
8. With which aspect(s) of this Company's services are y	u least satisfied?	
Comments:		
None—I've had a great working relationship with the compartheir service has consistently met or exceeded expectation		atisfaction.
9. Would you recommend this Company's services to you	organization again?	
Comments:		
Absolutely. They've been reliable, professional, and deliver again on future projects.	igh-quality work. I would gla	dly work with them